

East Herts Council (CHANTRY AREA, BISHOP'S STORTFORD) (PARKING ZONE) (AMENDMENT) ORDER 2013 - ~~ESSENTIALS~~ REFERENCE PAPER 'B'

URN	Objector Address	Objection Detail Summary	Reason for Officer decision: accede / overrule / refer
1	Buntingford	The Sunday proposal to reduce operational period (i.e. Sunday 11am - 4pm) doesn't go far enough. Sunday should be uncontrolled the whole day. The changes proposed will involve considerable expense and a lasting / acceptable scheme must be introduced.	Overrule - The residents' parking scheme is intended to restore residential amenity. The Sunday controls are regarded as an integral aspect of the control, particularly in relation to the local sports facilities and the risk of visitors causing congestion in uncontrolled roads on Sunday. New signage costs will be reasonable as only select signs require updating.
6	Chantry Close	Give consideration for Chantry Close to retain its character by not being smothered in dotted lines to indicate parking bays; Sunday charging is completely unacceptable and should not be included (Mon - Sat is preferred); the permit cost differential (between 1st and 2nd) is not accepted and a flat rate should be applied.	Overrule - The residents' parking scheme is intended to restore residential amenity. No parking bays will be marked out but rather bespoke entry signs will be erected at the entrance to the cul de sac. The Council believes the beneficiaries of a scheme should contribute towards the operational costs. .
9	Chantry Close	The proposal to introduce parking controls in Chantry Close over seven days (Mon - Sun) is not appropriate. It would be preferable to introduce a short time waiting restriction daytime Monday to Friday; also objects to the principle of paying for guests to park using visitor vouchers.	Overrule - The residents' parking scheme is intended to restore residential amenity. It is appropriate that the beneficiaries of the scheme contribute to the operating costs; visitor vouchers are relatively inexpensive at 10 pence per hour or 5 pence for pensioners
3	Lindsey Road	The proposed introduction of double yellow lines on one side of Lindsey Road will be unsafe.	Accede - Officers recommend that the Council accede to the residents' objections and that the proposed amendments to Lindsey road are removed. However, given representations in respect of safety and their importance it is recommended that officers identify an alternative scheme for Lindsey road to address the concerns of residents about speed and the emergency services' concerns regarding access.
4	Lindsey Road	The proposed introduction of double yellow lines on one side of Lindsey Road will cause accidents on the lower end of the road and there will be insufficient space for parking. The current staggered arrangement works well with slower traffic movements and care taken by motorists; the current Sunday controls are restrictive for residents and the sporting community.	Accede - Officers recommend that the Council accede to the residents' objections and that the proposed amendments to Lindsey road are removed. However, given representations in respect of safety and their importance it is recommended that officers identify an alternative scheme for Lindsey road to address the concerns of residents about speed and the emergency services' concerns regarding access.
5	Lindsey Road	The Lindsey Road proposed double yellow lines (DYL) will remove much needed parking space. "There are 36 houses in the road with parking available for 16/17 cars to park" in the one parking bay (if the proposal was implemented) on one side only. The Sunday proposal to reduce the operational hours would be unworkable due to the limited number of spaces created if the DYL proposal is implemented.	Accede - Officers recommend that the Council accede to the residents' objections and that the proposed amendments to Lindsey road are removed. However, given representations in respect of safety and their importance it is recommended that officers identify an alternative scheme for Lindsey road to address the concerns of residents about speed and the emergency services' concerns regarding access.

East Herts Council (CHANTRY AREA, BISHOP'S STORTFORD) (PARKING ZONE) (AMENDMENT) ORDER 2013 - ~~ESSENTIALS~~ REFERENCE PAPER 'B'

8	Lindsey Road	Strongly object to double yellow lines in Lindsey Road. The proposal will impact on parking places for local residents, reducing the available parking close to home. As a female shift worker, any reduction in parking places close to home will require parking further away from home with safety concerns; neighbours will be required to compete with each other for parking leading to stress and conflict.	Accede - Officers recommend that the Council accede to the residents' objections and that the proposed amendments to Lindsey road are removed. However, given representations in respect of safety and their importance it is recommended that officers identify an alternative scheme for Lindsey road to address the concerns of residents about speed and the emergency services' concerns regarding access.
10	Lindsey Road	The proposal to introduce double yellow lines in Lindsey Road will make the parking arrangements worse. Parking is already dangerous. The whole parking system should be scrapped in Lindsey Road	Accede - Officers recommend that the Council accede to the residents' objections and that the proposed amendments to Lindsey road are removed. However, given representations in respect of safety and their importance it is recommended that officers identify an alternative scheme for Lindsey road to address the concerns of residents about speed and the emergency services' concerns regarding access.
11	Lindsey Road	Introducing double yellow lines in Lindsey Road in front of houses which have no parking is spiteful, unhelpful and certainly dangerous, especially on a hill. Currently, there is at least a little natural slowing of traffic. Strongly object on the grounds of safety, practicality and anti social impact.	Accede - Officers recommend that the Council accede to the residents' objections and that the proposed amendments to Lindsey road are removed. However, given representations in respect of safety and their importance it is recommended that officers identify an alternative scheme for Lindsey road to address the concerns of residents about speed and the emergency services' concerns regarding access.
14	Lindsey Road	Petition (35 signatures) objecting to the proposal to introduce double yellow lines on one side of Lindsey Road. Objectors believe the proposal has not been fully considered and, if implemented, will be dangerous to pedestrians.	Accede - Officers recommend that the Council accede to the residents' objections and that the proposed amendments to Lindsey road are removed. However, given representations in respect of safety and their importance it is recommended that officers identify an alternative scheme for Lindsey road to address the concerns of residents about speed and the emergency services' concerns regarding access.
7	Pinelands	The proposed introduction of double yellow lines in Lindsey Road is acceptable but may increase the speed of some traffic along the road; the proposed earlier Sunday starting control time is not acceptable as some football visitors to the sports centre (in the morning on Sunday) will once again cause extreme congestion in Pinelands and Lindsey Road when parking with associated verbal abuse suffered occasionally. A football season approach (Sept to April) could be considered.	Overrule - The residents' parking scheme is intended to maintain residential amenity; the proposed reduction in Sunday controls, with the slightly later start time is adequate, and will continue to ensure congestion and inconsiderate parking is effectively managed.
2	Willow Close	The Proposal is not needed in Willow Close. No parking difficulties experienced and none foreseen.	Overrule - The residents' parking scheme is intended to restore residential amenity. Willow Close is relatively close to the town centre and as pressure on parking within the town continue the risk of non Willow Close residents parking will only increase with a loss of amenity flowing. Feedback from some Willow Close residents suggests there is a problem at times and a long term solution is required. The Scheme will be formally reviewed by the council 6 months after implementation to ensure it fully meets the needs of residents. If evidence at this time confirms the view that residents no longer wish to have the scheme, the current parking arrangements may be reinstated.
12	Willow Close	The proposed scheme in Willow Close will penalise residents, guests and workmen from parking freely in a road with minimal parking concerns. Object to the principle of paying to park for residents and visitors.	Overrule - The residents' parking scheme is intended to restore residential amenity. Willow Close is relatively close to the town centre and as pressure on parking within the town continues the risk of non Willow Close residents parking will only increase with a loss of amenity flowing. The Council believes the beneficiaries of the scheme should contribute towards the operational costs. New signage costs will be reasonable as only select signs require updating.

East Herts Council (CHANTRY AREA, BISHOP'S STORTFORD) (PARKING ZONE) (AMENDMENT) ORDER 2013 - ~~ESSENTIALS~~ REFERENCE PAPER 'B'

13	Willow Close	The proposed times and days are too restrictive. Willow Close does not need parking restrictions as there are no specific parking problems.	Overrule - The residents' parking scheme is intended to restore residential amenity. Willow Close is relatively close to the town centre and as pressure on parking within the town continue the risk of non Willow Close residents parking will only increase with a loss of amenity flowing. Feedback from some Willow Close residents suggests there is a problem at times and a long term solution is required. The Scheme will be formally reviewed by the council 6 months after implementation to ensure it fully meets the needs of residents. If evidence at this time confirms the view that residents no longer wish to have the scheme, the current parking arrangements may be reinstated.
15	Willow Close	The proposal will impact and be a nuisance when regular visitors attend - as is the case, particularly during weekends in Willow Close. Seven day parking controls are too onerous and could easily be limited to five days weekly, 11am - 13.00 hours.	Overrule - The residents' parking scheme is intended to restore residential amenity. Willow Close is relatively close to the town centre and as pressure on parking within the town continue the risk of non Willow Close residents parking will only increase with a loss of amenity flowing. Feedback from some Willow Close residents suggests there is a problem at times and a long term solution is required. The Scheme will be formally reviewed by the council 6 months after implementation to ensure it fully meets the needs of residents. If evidence at this time confirms the view that residents no longer wish to have the scheme, the current parking arrangements may be reinstated.
16	Willow Close	The proposal to include <u>Willow Close</u> in the Scheme does not have the support of 50% of residents who have engaged in the informal consultation process; the proposal is not the most cost-effective solution to commuter parking in the Chantry area; parking controls are an effective traffic tool, but those proposed will be costly to implement and manage, damage the character and essence of the area, reduce the value of residences within the area, restrict the use of local shops, sports clubs and other amenities, and threaten their future	Overrule - The residents' parking scheme is intended to restore residential amenity. Willow Close is relatively close to the town centre and as pressure on parking within the town continues the risk of non Willow Close residents parking will only increase with a loss of amenity flowing. During the informal consultation stage (late 2012) votes for Willow Close inclusion: 10 in favour, 8 against and 1 no view. At formal objection stage (June 2013) 8 formal objections were received (8 addresses representing 29% of 28 total addresses). The evidence suggests there is adequate support for a scheme to be introduced. The Scheme will be formally reviewed by the council 6 months after implementation to ensure it fully meets the needs of all residents. If evidence at this time confirms the view that residents no longer wish to be part of the broader scheme, the current parking arrangements can be reinstated.
17	Willow Close	A ban on uncontrolled parking in <u>Willow Close</u> without a permit is disproportionate to the issue; a one or two hour parking ban would be an option. The strategy of permit parking is a measure to assuage the effects of a town centre with insufficient parking.	Overrule - The residents' parking scheme is intended to restore residential amenity. Willow Close is relatively close to the town centre and as pressure on parking within the town continue the risk of non Willow Close residents parking will only increase with a loss of amenity flowing. Feedback from some Willow Close residents suggests there is a problem at times and a long term solution is required. The Scheme will be formally reviewed by the council 6 months after implementation to ensure it fully meets the needs of residents. If evidence at this time confirms the view that residents no longer wish to have the scheme, the current parking arrangements may be reinstated.
18	Willow Close	Strongly object to <u>Willow Close</u> parking amendment as there are no parking issues affecting the road. However, if in the minority would ask for 9am - 4pm Mon to Fri controls to be considered. Many family and friends visit at the weekend and controls would be over restrictive.	Overrule - The residents' parking scheme is intended to restore residential amenity. Willow Close is relatively close to the town centre and as pressure on parking within the town continue the risk of non Willow Close residents parking will only increase with a loss of amenity flowing. Feedback from some Willow Close residents suggests there is a problem at times and a long term solution is required. The Scheme will be formally reviewed by the council 6 months after implementation to ensure it fully meets the needs of residents. If evidence at this time confirms the view that residents no longer wish to have the scheme, the current parking arrangements may be reinstated.

East Herts Council (CHANTRY AREA, BISHOP'S STORTFORD) (PARKING ZONE) (AMENDMENT) ORDER 2013 - ~~ESSENTIALS~~ REFERENCE PAPER 'B'

19	Willow Close	<p>There is no necessity for permit parking in <u>Willow Close</u> as no increase in on street parking has been noted since Grange Paddocks car park charging started; object to the principle of paying to park on the highway when people dropping off children to Northgate School can park for free.</p>	<p>Overrule - The residents' parking scheme is intended to restore residential amenity. Willow Close is relatively close to the town centre and as pressure on parking within the town continue the risk of non Willow Close residents parking will only increase with a loss of amenity flowing. Feedback from some Willow Close residents suggests there is a problem at times and a long term solution is required. The Scheme will be formally reviewed by the council 6 months after implementation to ensure it fully meets the needs of residents. If evidence at this time confirms the view that residents no longer wish to have the scheme, the current parking arrangements may be reinstated.</p>
----	--------------	--	--